

**LAW OFFICES
STAAS & HALSEY LLP**

Telephone
(202) 434-1500

1201 New York Avenue, N.W.
7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005

Facsimile
(202) 434-1501

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

August 22, 2006

TO : U.S. PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTN: Ex. Thomas H. STEVENS, Art Unit 2123

FAX NO.: 571-273-3715

TELEPHONE:

FROM: Michael E. Kondoudis

RE: U.S. Patent App. No. 09/785,208

YOUR REFERENCE: A50069-USA

OUR DOCKET: 1344.1056

NO. OF PAGES (Including this Cover Sheet) 3

PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, and is intended only for the use of the addressee(s). Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited. If there are any problems with this transmission, please contact us immediately.

COMMENTS:

CONTINUATION OF PTOL-413A

1. The statement made in the Response to Applicant's Arguments portion of the Office Action mailed May 22, 2006 that "[T]he Office establishes equivalence regarding the load data region and the area where various data parameters are preprocessed or confined to a section of the database (Rebello: column 2, lines 47-55)" is unclear to Applicant. Specifically, it is unclear how this statement is intended to address Applicant's patentability arguments about the load region data creating function feature of the claims. Further, it is unclear to Applicant how the cited portion of Rebello supports the aforementioned rationale/response of the Office Action, especially since Rebello relates to the CAD/CAM arts. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that this issue is ambiguous and requires clarification for further prosecution of this application.

2. Applicant intends to discuss possible amendments to the independent claims, if such amendments are necessary. However, Applicant believes, based in part on the ambiguity discussed above, that a mutual understanding of the claimed subject matter and the cited art does not yet exist between the Office and the Applicant. And, it is believed that a mutual understanding of the claimed subject matter and the cited art is a necessary prerequisite to further prosecution. Thus, to ensure such a mutual understanding, it is respectfully submitted that an interview is necessary.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that an interview will advance prosecution of the subject application by serving to develop and clarify at least the aforementioned issues and lead to a mutual understanding of the claimed subject matter and the cited art.

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/785,208 First Named Applicant: NAGAKURA
 Examiner: STEVENS Art Unit: 2123 Status of Application: _____

Tentative Participants:

(1) APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE (2) EXAMINER

(3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: TBD Proposed Time: TBD (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>REJECTION</u>	<u>1.5, 9</u>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet Attached					

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Michael Kondoudis

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Examiner/SPE Signature

MICHAEL KONDOUDIS
 Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

42, 758

Registration Number, if applicable

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.